

On the border lines between the regions of constant solution type for solutions of the Friedmann equation satisfying the Hubble condition

Hellmut Baumgärtel
Mathematical Institute
University of Potsdam
Germany
e-mail: baumg@uni-potsdam.de

Abstract

It is well-known that there are four distinct basic types (two Big Bang types, Lemaitre and Big Crunch type) for solutions of the general Friedmann equation with positive cosmological constant, where radiation and matter do not couple. The system of the case distinction parameters for a given solution contains the "critical radiation parameter" σ_{cr} , introduced in [2]. The present note contains the solution of the equation $\sigma = \sigma_{cr}$ for solutions satisfying the *Hubble condition*. It is given in terms of two smooth function branches, expressing the cosmological constant as unique functions of the matter and radiation density, which satisfy a simple asymptotic relation w.r.t. the matter density. These branches are the *border lines* between Big Bang/Big Crunch type and Big Bang/Lemaitre type for the solutions satisfying this boundary condition.

1 Introduction

Einstein's famous field equation of the general relativity theory, linking together the gravitational field with the stress-energy tensor, is also a basic tool in cosmology to solve problems of the large-scale structure of the cosmos. The idea of the existence of a definite large-scale structure of the cosmos is expressed by the "cosmological principle" (E.A. Milne 1933). This principle appears as a boundary condition of Einstein's field equation. This boundary condition consists of a special ansatz of the gravitational field given by a so-called Robertson-Walker(RW-)metric, and of the special form of the stress-energy tensor as that of a perfect fluid (Wald [1,p.69]). Moreover, it is assumed that matter and radiation do not couple. Then Einstein's field equation reduces to the so-called Friedmann equation

$$\left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{\alpha}{R} + \frac{\sigma}{R^2} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda c^2 R^2 - \epsilon c^2 \quad (1)$$

for the so-called scale-factor $R(t) > 0$, a dimensionless number. The constant parameters are: velocity of light c , cosmological constant Λ , curvature constant $\epsilon = 0, \pm 1$, matter invariant $\alpha \geq 0$ and radiation invariant $\sigma \geq 0$. The mathematical context of the connection of Einstein's field equation with the Friedmann equation is briefly explained in Appendix 10.

In the following an initial condition t_0, R_0 for equation (1) is called *admissible* if the right hand side of (1) is positive for R_0 .

2 The Hubble condition

A solution $R(\cdot)$ of the Friedmann equation (1) satisfies the Hubble condition if the equation

$$H_0^2 = \frac{\alpha}{R(T)^3} + \frac{\sigma}{R(T)^4} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda c^2 - \epsilon \frac{c^2}{R(T)^2}, \quad (2)$$

holds, where

$$H_0 := \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{dR}{dt} \right) (T), \quad (3)$$

denotes the so-called Hubble constant and T the present time.

According to [2,Sec.II.C,III] the type of a solution of (1) depends on the mutual position of $R(T)$ and the positive zeros of the polynomial of the fourth degree

$$p(R) := \frac{1}{3}\Lambda c^2 R^4 - \epsilon c^2 R^2 + \alpha R + \sigma. \quad (4)$$

It turns out that there are three cases for these zeros: (i) two different zeros, (ii) one double-zero, (iii) no zero. These cases can be described using the term $9\alpha^2 - 4\epsilon c^4$, which is essentially the discriminant of the polynomial of the third degree $\frac{1}{3}\Lambda c^2 R^3 - \epsilon c^2 R + \alpha$. If this term is not negative then one has the case (iii). If it is negative then there are two cases. To distinguish them the *critical radiation parameter* σ_{cr} is introduced in [2,Sec.II.C]: the case $\sigma < \sigma_{cr}$ corresponds to the case (i) and $\sigma > \sigma_{cr}$ to the case (iii). That is, the equation

$$\sigma = \sigma_{cr}, \quad (5)$$

corresponding to the case (ii), separates the regions corresponding to the cases (i) and (iii). σ and σ_{cr} are functions of $\Lambda, \rho_{mat}(T), \rho_{rad}(T)$, the matter and radiation densities. It turns out that the solution of equ. (5) can be described by two analytic functions (branches) $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\pm}(\rho_{mat}(T), \rho_{rad}(T))$, which are the border lines between regions of constant type, Λ_+ between Big Bang and Lemaitre type and Λ_- between Big Bang and Big Crunch type.

The topic of this note is the construction of these functions and the derivation of their asymptotic behaviour for large $\rho_{mat}(T)$.

3 Implications from the Hubble condition

Using the equations (63),(64), the Hubble condition reads

$$H_0^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_{mat}(T) + \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_{rad}(T) + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda c^2 - \epsilon \frac{c^2}{R(T)^2}. \quad (6)$$

The initial condition $T, R(T)$ is admissible. In the special case $\Lambda = 0, \sigma = 0, \epsilon = 0$ it reads

$$H_0^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_{mat}(T). \quad (7)$$

This case is the Euclidean Einstein-de Sitter model of 1932. Since that time the term

$$\rho_{cr} := \frac{3}{8\pi G} H_0^2$$

is called the *critical density*. Introducing instead of $\rho_{mat}(T), \rho_{rad}(T), \Lambda$ the variables

$$x := \frac{\rho_{mat}(T)}{\rho_{cr}}, \quad y := \frac{\frac{1}{3}\Lambda c^2}{H_0^2}, \quad z := \frac{\rho_{rad}(T)}{\rho_{cr}}, \quad (8)$$

then equ. (2) can be written as

$$1 = x + y + z - \epsilon \frac{c^2}{H_0^2 R(T)^2} \quad (9)$$

and the constants α, σ, Λ are given by

$$\alpha = x H_0^2 R(T)^3, \quad \sigma = z H_0^2 R(T)^4, \quad \Lambda = y \frac{3 H_0^2}{c^2}. \quad (10)$$

If $x + y + z - 1 \neq 0$ then

$$R(T)^2 = \left(\frac{c}{H_0} \right)^2 \frac{\epsilon}{x + y + z - 1}, \quad (11)$$

i.e. in this case the scale-factor $R(T)$ in the present time is uniquely determined by the Hubble condition. Moreover one obtains:

$$\text{If } x + y + z > 1 \text{ then } \epsilon = +1, \quad \text{if } x + y + z < 1 \text{ then } \epsilon = -1.$$

That is,

$$x + y + z = 1 \text{ iff } \epsilon = 0. \quad (12)$$

This means: the Euclidean case is quite singular, realized only on the plane (12) and in this case the scale-factor is *not* uniquely determined by the Hubble condition. In any case, the geometric structure of the cosmos is uniquely determined by the expression $x + y + z - 1$.

Note that now α and σ appear as functions of x, y, z . Sometimes the term $\frac{c}{H_0}$ is called the Hubble radius.

4 Discriminant and critical radiation parameter

The right hand side of equation (1) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\Lambda c^2}{3 R^2} \left(R q(R) + \frac{3}{\Lambda c^2} \sigma \right),$$

where

$$q(R) := R^3 - \frac{3\epsilon}{\Lambda} R + \frac{3\alpha}{\Lambda c^2}.$$

If $R(T)$ satisfies the Hubble condition, i.e. equ. (6), then the discriminant Δ of q is given by

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{4 \Lambda^3} \left(\frac{R(T) H_0}{c} \right)^6 D$$

where

$$D = D(x, y; z) := 27x^2y - 4(x + y + z - 1)^3. \quad (13)$$

If $D(x, y, z) < 0$ then necessarily $\epsilon = 1$ and we introduce the angle $\phi, \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi$ by

$$\cos \phi = -\frac{\sqrt{27}}{2} \frac{xy^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x + y + z - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi. \quad (14)$$

Then $D = 0$ corresponds to $\phi = \pi$.

Note that $D(x, y; 1) = 0$ iff $x = 2y$ and if $z > 1$ then $D(x, y; z) < 0$ for all $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$.

By equation (14) to each (x, y) with $x > 0, y > 0$ there is associated a uniquely determined angle $\phi, \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi$. Fixing a parameter $z = z_0 \geq 0$ then the algebraic curves $\phi = \text{const}$ exhaust the region $D < 0$ within the first quadrant $x > 0, y > 0$. In the following these curves are called the ϕ -curves.

For $D < 0$ the critical radiation parameter σ_{cr} is given by

$$\sigma_{cr} = \frac{c^4}{H_0^2} \frac{2}{3} y^{-1} \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right)^2 \left(2 \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right)^2 - 1 \right), \quad \cos \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \phi, \quad \frac{3\pi}{4} > \psi > \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (15)$$

slightly modified compared with the expression (12) in [2].

The positive roots of the polynomial $p(\cdot)$ can be described as follows:

- (i) If $D < 0$ and $0 \leq \sigma < \sigma_{cr}$ then there are two positive roots $R_1 < R_2$ (if $x = 0$ then $R_1 = 0$).
- (ii) If $D = 0$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{cr} = 0$ or $D < 0$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{cr}$ where $\sigma > 0$ then there is one positive double-root.
- (iii) If $D > 0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$ or $D = 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ or $D < 0$ and $\sigma > \sigma_{cr}$ then there is no positive root.

In the case $D < 0$ and $\sigma = 0$, i.e. $z = 0$, one obtains the explicit expressions

$$R_1(x, y; 0) := \frac{c}{H_0} \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} (2\pi - \phi) \right), \quad R_2(x, y; 0) := \frac{c}{H_0} \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos \frac{1}{3} \phi. \quad (16)$$

Since in the case (i) one has $p(R) < 0$ for $R \in (R_1, R_2)$, this is an forbidden interval for initial conditions t, R . However, according to the Hubble condition, $R(T) = R(x, y; z)$ given by equation (13) is admissible, i.e. one obtains that either

$$R(x, y; z) > R_2(x, y; z) \quad (17)$$

or

$$R(x, y; z) < R_1(x, y; z). \quad (18)$$

5 The equation $\sigma = \sigma_{cr}$

As already mentioned the equation $\sigma = \sigma_{cr}$ separates the regions corresponding to the cases (i) and (iii) and the corresponding border lines for these regions can be determined by the solution of this equation. In the following z is considered as a parameter and the equation is considered in the first quadrant $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$. The terms σ and σ_{cr} are functions of (x, y) and of the parameter z . The function σ_{cr} is given by (15). According to equations (10) and (11) one obtains for σ

$$\sigma = \frac{c^4}{H_0^2} \frac{z}{(x + y + z - 1)^2}. \quad (19)$$

Then the equation $\sigma = \sigma_{cr}$ reads

$$\frac{4zy}{(x + y + z - 1)^2} = \frac{8}{3} \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right)^2 \left(2 \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right)^2 - 1 \right), \quad (20)$$

where ψ is a function of x, y and z , according to equ. (14) and (15). Solution of equation (20) means the construction of functions $x \rightarrow Y(x; z_0), x \geq 0$, for every parameter $z_0 > 0$, which satisfy this equation. As a function of ϕ , the right hand side of equ. (20) has a simple structure. In the following we put

$$\frac{8}{3} \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right)^2 \left(2 \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right)^2 - 1 \right) =: F(\phi), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \phi \leq \pi. \quad (21)$$

One obtains $F(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1, F(\pi) = 0$ and $F(\cdot)$ is strongly monotonically decreasing.

The proofs for the solution of equ. (20) are considered separately for the parameter regions $0 \leq z < 1, z = 1$ and $z > 1$. The case $z = 1$ is considered first. On the one hand, it is a rather singular case, but on the other hand it is explicitly soluble.

6 Results

6.1 Theorem

(i) Let $z = 1$. Then the solution of equ. (20) consists of two functions (branches)

$$(0, \infty) \ni x \rightarrow Y_{\pm}(x),$$

given by the parameter representation

$$x := x_{\pm}(\phi), \quad Y_{\pm}(x) := \mu_{\pm}(\phi)x_{\pm}(\phi), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi,$$

where

$$\mu_{\pm}(\phi) := 3\nu_{\pm}(\phi) - 1, \quad \nu_{+}(\phi) := \frac{\cos \frac{1}{3}\phi}{|\cos \phi|}, \quad \nu_{-}(\phi) := \frac{\cos \frac{1}{3}(2\pi - \phi)}{|\cos \phi|} \quad (22)$$

and

$$x_{\pm}(\phi) := \frac{4}{9} \frac{1}{F(\phi)} \left(\frac{3}{\nu_{\pm}(\phi)} - \frac{1}{\nu_{\pm}(\phi)^2} \right). \quad (23)$$

The solutions $Y_{\pm}(\cdot)$ have the properties

$$0 < Y_{-}(x) < \frac{1}{2}x < Y_{+}(x), \quad x > 0, \quad (24)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\pm}(x) &= \frac{1}{2}x \pm u_{\pm}(x), \quad u_{\pm}(x) > 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y_{+}(x) &= 4, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y_{-}(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{\pm}(x)}{x} = 0. \quad (26)$$

figur 1

(ii) Let $z > 1$. Then the solution of equ. (20) consists of two functions (branches)

$$(0, \infty) \ni x \rightarrow Y_{\pm}(x)$$

given by the parameter representation

$$x = x(\phi, \rho_{\pm}(\phi)), \quad Y_{\pm}(x) = \rho_{\pm}(\phi)x(\phi, \rho_{\pm}(\phi)), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi, \quad (27)$$

where

$$x(\phi, \mu) := (z - 1) \frac{2^{2/3}(\cos \phi)^{2/3}}{3\mu^{1/3} - 2^{2/3}(\cos \phi)^{2/3}(\mu + 1)}, \quad \mu_{-}(\phi) < \mu < \mu_{+}(\phi), \quad (28)$$

and the corresponding ϕ -curve is given by $y_{\pm}(x, \phi) := \mu x(\phi, \mu)$. The terms $\mu := \rho_{\pm}(\phi)$ are uniquely determined solutions of the equation

$$(\cos \phi)^{2/3} \mu^{1/3} (3\mu^{1/3} - 2^{2/3}(\cos \phi)^{2/3}(\mu + 1)) = \frac{3^2}{2^{8/3}} \frac{z - 1}{z} F(\phi), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\mu_{-}(\phi) < \rho_{-}(\phi) < \rho_{+}(\phi) < \mu_{+}(\phi). \quad (30)$$

The solutions $Y_{\pm}(\cdot)$ have the properties $0 < Y_{-}(x) < Y_{+}(x)$, $x > 0$,

$$0 < y_{-}(x; \phi) < Y_{-}(x) < \frac{1}{2}x < Y_{+}(x) < y_{+}(x; \phi), \quad x \text{ sufficiently large}, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi, \quad (31)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\pm}(x) &= \frac{1}{2}x \pm u_{\pm}(x), \quad u_{\pm}(x) > 0, \quad x \text{ sufficiently large}, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y_{\pm}(x) &= (1 \pm \sqrt{z})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{\pm}(x)}{x} = 0. \quad (33)$$

figur 2

(iii) Let $0 < z < 1$. In this case every ϕ -curve, $\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi$ has two branches, a lower one $x \rightarrow y_-(x; \phi)$ for $x \geq a$, where $a := 1 - z$, starting at $(a, 0)$, and an upper one $x \rightarrow y_+(x; \phi)$ for $x \geq 0$, starting at $(0, a)$. The branches $y_{\pm}(\cdot; \pi)$ of the π -curve form the boundary of the region defined by $D < 0$. It corresponds to $D = 0$ (cf. Sec. 3). The solution of equ. (20) consists of two functions (branches)

$$(0, \infty) \ni x \rightarrow Y_+(x), \quad (a, \infty) \ni x \rightarrow Y_-(x).$$

The branch $Y_+(\cdot)$ is given by the parameter representation

$$x = x(\phi, \rho_+(\phi)), \quad Y_+(x) = \rho_+(\phi)x(\phi, \rho_+(\phi)), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi, \quad (34)$$

where

$$x(\phi, \mu) := (1 - z) \frac{2^{2/3}(\cos \phi)^{2/3}}{2^{2/3}(\cos \phi)^{2/3}(\mu + 1) - 3\mu^{1/3}}, \quad \mu > \mu_+(\phi), \quad (35)$$

and the term $\mu := \rho_+(\phi)$ is the uniquely determined solution of the equation

$$(\cos \phi)^{2/3} \mu^{1/3} (2^{2/3}(\cos \phi)^{2/3}(\mu + 1) - 3\mu^{1/3}) = \frac{3^2}{2^{8/3}} \frac{1 - z}{z} F(\phi), \quad (36)$$

where $\rho_+(\phi) > \mu_+(\phi)$. This means: every ϕ -curve has exactly one intersection with the branch $Y_+(\cdot)$, realized by the upper branch y_+ of the ϕ -curve.

In contrast to this property of $Y_+(\cdot)$ the branch $Y_-(\cdot)$ has either exactly two intersections with a ϕ -curve or there is no intersection. More precisely:

To every $a, 0 < a < 1$, there is an angle $\phi(a), \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi(a) < \pi$, such that the parameter representation of $Y_-(\cdot)$ is given by

$$x = x(\phi, \rho_{\pm}^{\pm}(\phi)), \quad Y_-(x) = \rho_{\pm}^{\pm}(\phi)x(\phi, \rho_{\pm}^{\pm}(\phi)), \quad \phi(a) < \phi < \pi, \quad (37)$$

where

$$0 < \rho_-^-(\phi) < \mu_{max} < \rho_-^+(\phi) < \mu_-(\phi), \quad (38)$$

and the left hand side of equ. (36) takes its maximum at μ_{max} . Note that for $\phi := \phi(a)$ the terms $\rho_-^-(\phi(a))$ and $\rho_-^+(\phi(a))$ coincide, $\rho_-^+(\phi(a)) = \rho_-^-(\phi(a))$. If $\phi < \phi(a)$ then there is no intersection with this ϕ -curve.

The solutions $Y_{\pm}(\cdot)$ have the properties

$$0 < Y_-(x) < \frac{1}{2}x < Y_+(x), \quad x > a, \quad 0 < Y_+(x), \quad x > 0$$

$$0 < y_-(x, \phi) < Y_-(x) < \frac{1}{2}x < Y_+(x) < y_+(x, \phi), \quad x \text{ sufficiently large}, \quad (39)$$

$$Y_{\pm}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x \pm u_{\pm}(x), \quad u_{\pm}(x) > 0,$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} Y_-(x) = 0, \quad (40)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y_+(x) = (1 + \sqrt{z})^2, \quad (41)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{\pm}(x)}{x} = 0. \quad (42)$$

figur 3

(iv) Let $z = 0$. In this case one has $\sigma = 0$, i.e. the solution branches of the equation $\sigma = \sigma_{cr}$ coincide with the branches $y_{\pm}(\cdot; \pi)$ of the π -curve

$$4(x + y - 1)^3 = 27x^2y,$$

i.e. they coincide with the curve where $D = 0$.

6.2 The regions $G_{\pm}(z)$

In the case $D(x, y; z) < 0$ these regions are defined for $z \geq 1$ by

$$G_+(z) := \{(x, y) : x > 0, y > Y_+(x; z)\}, \quad G_-(z) := \{(x, y) : x > 0, y < Y_-(x; z)\},$$

and for $0 \leq z < 1$ by

$$G_+(z) := \{(x, y) : x > 0, y > Y_+(x; z)\}, \quad G_-(z) := \{(x, y) : x > 1 - z, y < Y_-(x; z)\},$$

such that the region $D(x, y; z) < 0$ is the union $G_+(z) \cup G_-(z)$.

These regions can be also characterized by the mutual position of $R(T) = R(x, y; z)$ and the roots $R_1(x, y; z) < R_2(x, y; z)$ of the polynomial $p(\cdot)$. Actually one obtains

6.3 Lemma

The regions $G_{\pm}$ can be characterized as follows:

$$G_+(z) = \{(x, y) : R(x, y; z) > R_2(x, y; z)\} \quad G_-(z) := \{(x, y) : R(x, y; z) < R_1(x, y; z)\}. \quad (43)$$

7 Proofs

7.1 Proof of the Theorem

(i) Let $z = 1$. First note that

$$\mu_+(\phi) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_-(\phi) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \phi \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2},$$

further

$$\mu_-(\phi) < \frac{1}{2} < \mu_+(\phi), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi; \quad \mu_{\pm}(\phi) \rightarrow \mu_{\pm}(\pi) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad \phi \rightarrow \pi. \quad (44)$$

The equation for the ϕ -curves reads

$$4(x + y)^3(\cos \phi)^2 = 27x^2y, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi.$$

The terms $\nu_{\pm}(\phi)$ satisfy the relation

$$4\nu_{\pm}(\phi)^3(\cos \phi)^2 + 1 = 3\nu_{\pm}(\phi)$$

and for the terms $\mu_{\pm}(\phi)$ one obtains

$$27\mu_{\pm}(\phi) - 4(1 + \mu_{\pm}(\phi))^3(\cos \phi)^2 = 0. \quad (45)$$

In the case $\phi = \pi$ for the left hand side of (45) one has $4(1+\mu)^3 - 27\mu = (2\mu-1)^2(\mu+4)$. Moreover one obtains

$$27\mu - 4(1 + \mu)^3(\cos \phi)^2 > 0, \quad \mu_{-}(\phi) < \mu < \mu_{+}(\phi). \quad (46)$$

Therefore, the ϕ -curve consists of the two rays

$$y = \mu_{\pm}(\phi)x, \quad x > 0, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi. \quad (47)$$

In the case $\phi = \pi$ there is only one ray and for $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ the limit rays are the half axes $x = 0$ and $y = 0$.

The equation $\sigma = \sigma_{cr}$ reads

$$4y = (x + y)^2 F(\phi).$$

For a point (x, y) on a ϕ -ray (47) this means

$$4\mu_{\pm}(\phi)x = F(\phi)(1 + \mu_{\pm}(\phi))^2 x^2.$$

The solution of this equation is given by (23) which implies $x_{-}(\phi) < x_{+}(\phi)$ and

$$x_{\pm}(\phi) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } \phi \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad x_{\pm}(\phi) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{if } \phi \rightarrow \pi.$$

Then $\mu_{-}(\phi)x_{-}(\phi) \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu_{+}(\phi)x_{+}(\phi) \rightarrow 4$ for $\phi \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$. This proves (25). If $x = x_{+}(\phi_{+}) = x_{-}(\phi_{-})$ then $x \rightarrow \infty$ iff $\phi_{\pm} \rightarrow \pi$. In this case one has $Y_{\pm}(x) = \mu_{\pm}(\phi_{\pm})x$. Because of (44) this proves (24). Finally, if $x \rightarrow \infty$ then $\mu_{\pm}(\phi_{\pm}) \rightarrow \mu_{\pm}(\pi) = \frac{1}{2}$. This proves (26).

(ii) Let $z > 1$. For convenience put $a := z - 1, a > 0$. The equation for the ϕ -curves reads

$$4(x + y + a)^3(\cos \phi)^2 = 27x^2y, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi. \quad (48)$$

Note that in this case the limit case $\phi = \pi$ is excluded because $D < 0$ everywhere. The limit case $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ corresponds to the half axes $x = 0, y = 0$. The parameter representation for the ϕ -curve using μ -rays $y = \mu x, \mu > 0$ yields the term $x = x(\phi, \mu)$ given by equ. (28). According to equations (45) and (46) one has $x \rightarrow \infty$ for $\mu \rightarrow \mu_{\pm}(\phi)$. That is, in this case the ϕ -curve (48) has only a single branch, where $x \geq a \frac{|\cos \phi|}{1 - |\cos \phi|}$ for fixed ϕ . Moreover, the expression $3\mu^{1/3} - 2^{2/3}(1 + \mu)(\cos \phi)^{2/3}$ takes its maximum at $\mu := \frac{1}{2(\cos \phi)^{2/3}}$, i.e. for any $x > a \frac{|\cos \phi|}{1 - |\cos \phi|}$ there are parameters μ_{+}, μ_{-} such that

$$\mu_{-} < \frac{1}{2(\cos \phi)^{2/3}} < \mu_{+}$$

and $x = x(\phi, \mu_-) = x(\phi, \mu_+)$. The corresponding values for y are $y_{\pm}(x, \phi) = \mu_{\pm}x$, i.e. one obtains

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{\pm}(x, \phi)}{x} = \mu_{\pm}(\phi). \quad (49)$$

The equation (20) for a point $(x, y) = (x, \mu x)$ on a fixed ϕ -curve leads the equation (29). The left hand side of this equation is positive for parameters μ satisfying $\mu_-(\phi) < \mu < \mu_+(\phi)$ and vanishes for $\mu = \mu_{\pm}(\phi)$. It takes its maximal value in this interval at

$$\mu_{max}^{1/3} := \frac{2^{1/6}}{|\cos \phi|^{1/3}} \cos \frac{\psi}{3},$$

where the angle ψ is given by equ. (15). The corresponding maximum is given by $2^{1/3} \cos \frac{\psi}{3} (2(\cos \frac{\psi}{3})^3 - 3 \cos \psi)$. Now the inequality

$$2^{1/3} \cos \frac{\psi}{3} \left(2 \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right)^3 - 3 \cos \psi \right) > \frac{3^2}{2^{8/3}} F(\phi)$$

is true, it is equivalent with the inequality $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} > \cos \frac{\psi}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}$ is the maximal value of $\cos \frac{\psi}{3}$ in the admissible interval for ψ (see equ. (15)), which is taken at the limit case $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The consequence is that for every $\phi \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ there are exactly two solutions $\mu := \rho_{\pm}(\phi)$ of equ. (29), where the inequality (30) is satisfied. This proves (27). The relation (31) follows from (30). The relations (32) can be obtained by solving equ. (20) directly for $x = 0$ which implies $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Concerning relation (33) note that $x \rightarrow \infty$ on a fixed ϕ -curve corresponds to $\mu \rightarrow \mu_{\pm}(\phi)$ and for each $\phi < \pi$ there is a solution $x = x(\phi, \mu)$ with $\mu := \rho_{\pm}(\phi)$, according to equ. (27). Taking the limit $\phi \rightarrow \pi$ then with equ. (49) and (31) one obtains (33).

(iii) Let $0 < z < 1$. The equation for the ϕ -curve reads

$$4(x + y - a)^3 (\cos \phi)^2 = 27x^2y, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi. \quad (50)$$

The limit case $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ corresponds to the half axes $x = 0, y \geq a$ and $y = 0, x \geq a$. The case $\phi = \pi$ corresponds to $D = 0$. The parameter representation using μ -rays $y = \mu x, \mu > 0$, yields the term

$$x = x(\phi, \mu) = a \frac{2^{2/3} (\cos \phi)^{2/3}}{2^{2/3} (\cos \phi)^{2/3} (\mu + 1) - 3\mu^{1/3}}, \quad \mu > \mu_+(\phi), \mu < \mu_-(\phi) \quad (51)$$

for the x -coordinate of the point of the ϕ -curve. Note equations (27) and (28). The parameter values $\mu > \mu_+(\phi), \mu < \mu_-(\phi)$ describe the upper and lower branch $y_{\pm}(\cdot; \phi)$ of the ϕ -curve, respectively. Inserting (49) and $y = \mu x$ into equ. (19) then the resulting equ. (41) is the condition for those μ , where the intersection point of the μ -ray with the ϕ -curve is simultaneously a solution point of equ. (19). The left hand side of equ. (41) vanishes for $\mu := \mu_{\pm}(\phi)$, it tends to infinity for $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ and it is strongly monotonically increasing for $\mu > \mu_+(\phi)$. If $\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi$ then $0 < F(\phi) < 1$ and $0 < \frac{1-z}{z} F(\phi) < \infty$ because of $0 < z < 1$. That is, for every pair $\{\phi, z\}$ there is exactly one solution $\rho_+(\phi)$ of equ. (41) and one has $\mu_+(\phi) < \rho_+(\phi)$.

For the investigation of solutions of equ. (41) in the interval $(0, \mu_-(\phi))$ we write this equation in the form

$$(1-a)(\cos \phi)^{2/3} \mu^{1/3} (2^{2/3} (\cos \phi)^{2/3} (\mu+1) - 3\mu^{1/3}) = \frac{3^2}{2^{8/3}} a F(\phi). \quad (52)$$

The extrema of the left hand side of equ. (50) as a function of μ are

$$\mu_{min}^{1/3} := \frac{2^{1/6}}{|\cos \phi|^{1/3}} \cos \frac{\psi}{3}, \quad \mu_{max}^{1/3} := \frac{2^{1/6}}{|\cos \phi|^{1/3}} \cos \frac{1}{3}(2\pi - \psi).$$

First one obtains $\mu_-(\phi) < \mu_{min} < \mu_+(\phi)$. This term has been used for the solution of equ. (19) in the case $z > 1$. Further, $0 < \mu_{max} < \mu_-(\phi)$. At this term the left hand side takes its maximum. Inserting this value into the left hand side one gets $(1-a)G(\phi)$, where

$$G(\phi) := 3 \cdot 2^{1/3} \left(\cos \frac{1}{3}(2\pi - \psi) \right)^2 \left(1 - 2 \left(\cos \frac{1}{3}(2\pi - \psi) \right)^2 \right). \quad (53)$$

Recall equ. (14) which implies $\frac{\pi}{4} > \frac{\psi}{3} > \frac{\pi}{6}$ and $\frac{5}{12}\pi < \frac{1}{3}(2\pi - \psi) < \frac{\pi}{2}$. The function $\phi \rightarrow G(\phi)$, $\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi$, is monotonically increasing and

$$G\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0, \quad G(\pi) = 3 \cdot 2^{1/3} \left(\cos \frac{5}{12}\pi \right)^2 \left(1 - 2 \left(\cos \frac{5}{12}\pi \right)^2 \right) > 0. \quad (54)$$

Comparing the maximum value $G(\phi)$ of the left hand side of equ. (50) with the right hand side $a \cdot 3^2 2^{-8/3} F(\phi)$ and taking into account the monotony properties of $G(\cdot)$ and $F(\cdot)$, further (52) and $F(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1$, $F(\pi) = 0$ then one obtains: There is exactly one angle $\phi(a)$, $\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi(a) < \pi$, such that

$$(1-a)G(\phi(a)) = a \cdot \frac{3^2}{2^{8/3}} F(\phi(a)).$$

Further, if $\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \phi(a)$ then the left hand side of equ. (50) at the maximum point μ_{max} is smaller than the right hand side at ϕ ; however, if $\phi(a) < \phi < \pi$ then the left hand side of equ. (50) at the maximum point is larger than the right hand side at ϕ . This implies: In the case $\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \phi(a)$ there is no solution μ of equ. (50). If $\phi(a) < \phi < \pi$ then there are exactly two solutions $\rho_{\pm}^{\pm}(\phi)$ of equ. (50) such that equ. (43) is true.

From equ. (49) it follows that $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} x(\phi, \mu) = a$ for all ϕ , $\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \pi$, i.e. the lower branch of the ϕ -curve starts at $(a, 0)$. This implies (40), according to (38).

Relation (46) can be obtained by solving equ. (19) directly for $x = 0$ which implies $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Note that in this case the second (formal) solution $(1 - \sqrt{z})^2$ is excluded because of $(1 - \sqrt{z})^2 < 1 - z$ which implies $D(0, (1 - \sqrt{z})^2, z) > 0$, i.e. the pair $(0, (1 - \sqrt{z})^2)$ belongs to the region $D > 0$.

Concerning relation (47) note that - according to the equations (27) and (28) - it follows from (49) that for $\mu > \mu_+(\phi)$, $\mu \rightarrow \mu_+(\phi)$ or $\mu < \mu_-(\phi)$, $\mu \rightarrow \mu_-(\phi)$ one has $x(\phi, \mu) \rightarrow \infty$. This means that for the two branches $y_{\pm}(\cdot; \phi)$ of the ϕ -curves one has

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{\pm}(x; \phi)}{x} = \mu_{\pm}(\phi). \quad (55)$$

However, for each ϕ , $\phi(a) < \phi < \pi$, there is a solution $x := x(\phi, \mu)$ of equation (19), either with $\mu := \rho_+(\phi)$ according to (39) or with $\mu := \rho_-^+(\phi)$ according to (42) and (43). Taking the limit $\phi \rightarrow \pi$ then with equ. (53) one obtains (47).

7.2 Proof of the Lemma

First note that, according to equations (10) and (15), in the case $z_0 = 0$ one has to show that

$$(x + y - 1)^{-1} > \frac{4}{3}y^{-1} \left(\cos \frac{\phi}{3} \right)^2, \quad (x, y) \in G_+,$$

and

$$(x + y - 1)^{-1} < \frac{4}{3}y^{-1} \left(\cos \frac{1}{3}(2\pi - \phi) \right)^2, \quad (x, y) \in G_-.$$

These inequalities can be verified by a direct estimation.

The corresponding property is also valid for $z > 0$. First there are special points satisfying this property, for example $(0, y)$, where $y > (1 + \sqrt{z})^2$, for $G_+(z)$, and, on the other hand, (x, y) , where $x > 1$ large and $0 < y < 1$, for $G_-(z)$. Further both regions are simply connected, i.e. an arbitrary point of a region can be smoothly joined within the region with such a special point. Choose a smooth path joining the special point (x_0, y_0) , say, of $G_+(z)$ with an arbitrary point (x_1, y_1) of this region. Consider the function $R(x, y; z) - R_2(x, y; z)$ for points of the path. At (x_0, y_0) it is positive. Assume that $R(x_1, y_1; z) < R_1(x_1, y_1; z)$, then the value of the function at this point is smaller than $R_1(x_1, y_1; z) - R_2(x_1, y_1; z) < 0$, i.e. then there is a point on the path such that value of the function at this point is zero which is a contradiction.

8 Remark

The asymptotic relation for the functions $u_{\pm}$ points to a somehow logarithmic behaviour. The asymptotics of these functions should be investigated more precisely. The ray $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ in the description of the border lines characterizes in the case of vanishing radiation the transition points from deceleration to acceleration.

9 References

1. R.M. Wald: General Relativity, University of Chicago Press 1984
2. H. Baumgärtel: On a critical radiation density in the Friedmann equation, JMP 53, 122505 (2012)

10 Appendix

10.1 Robertson-Walker metric

The cosmological principle requires the homogeneity and isotropy for the whole time-space manifold M , equipped with the quadratic form

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i,j=0}^3 g_{i,j} dx^i dx^j \quad (56)$$

of the gravitational field, where $\{g_{i,j}\}$ is the fundamental metric tensor of signature $+ - - -$. This means:

- (i) M is the union of a *congruence* of geodesics $x(\tau)$ with unique proper time τ and it is the foliation of the 3-manifolds M_τ , consisting of all points with equal proper time τ . For each time τ all points of M_τ are equivalent.
- (ii) M_τ and $dx(\tau)/d\tau$ are always orthogonal, i.e. for every fixed τ all spacelike directions are equivalent.

For details see Wald [1, p.91]. The ansatz of Robertson-Walker to satisfy the cosmological principle requires the existence of a distinct coordinate system $\{\tau, \xi\}$, where $\xi := \{\chi, \Theta, \phi\}$ the spacelike coordinates, such that the quadratic form (56) is given by

$$ds^2 = c^2 d\tau^2 - R(\tau)^2 (d\chi^2 + f(\chi)^2 d\Theta^2 + f(\chi)^2 (\sin \Theta)^2 d\phi^2), \quad (57)$$

where

$$f(\chi) = \begin{cases} \sin \chi, \epsilon = +1, 0 \leq \chi \leq \pi, 0 \leq \Theta \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi, \\ \chi, \epsilon = 0, 0 \leq \chi < \infty, \\ \sinh \chi, \epsilon = -1, 0 \leq \chi < \infty, 0 \leq \Theta \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi, \end{cases}$$

and where $R(\tau) > 0$ is the scale-factor. This means

$$g_{0,0} = c^2, \quad g_{1,1} = -R^2, \quad g_{2,2} = -R^2 f(\chi)^2, \quad g_{3,3} = -R^2 f(\chi)^2 (\sin \Theta)^2$$

and $g_{i,j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. The RW-ansatz satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Moreover, the 3-manifolds M_τ are spherical ($\epsilon = +1$), hyperbolic ($\epsilon = -1$) or euclidean ($\epsilon = 0$).

10.2 Einstein's field equation, RW-boundary condition and Friedmann equation

In the following Einstein's field equation is used in the form

$$(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} S) - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}, \quad \kappa := \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}, \quad (58)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, $S := \sum_{\mu=0}^3 g^{\mu\mu} R_{\mu\mu}$ the Ricci scalar and G the gravitational constant. As mentioned in the introduction, the ansatz for the stress-energy tensor corresponding to the perfect fluid is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\rho + \frac{P}{c^2} \right) u_\mu u_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} P, \quad (59)$$

where ρ is the energy density, P the radiation pressure and $u_\mu := g_{\mu 0}$. Because of the homogeneity ρ and P depend only on the time τ . For details see Wald [1, p.96]. Then

the RW-ansatz (57) for the metric implies that only the terms $R_{0,0}$, $R_{1,1}$ and $T_{0,0}$, $T_{1,1}$ are essential and the non-diagonal terms of $R_{\mu\nu}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$ vanish. One obtains

$$R_{0,0} = -3 \frac{d^2 R}{d\tau^2} \frac{1}{R}, \quad R_{1,1} = \frac{1}{c^2} \left(R \frac{d^2 R}{d\tau^2} + 2 \left(\frac{dR}{d\tau} \right)^2 \right) + 2\epsilon,$$

$$S = -\frac{3}{c^2} \frac{d^2 R}{d\tau^2} \frac{1}{R} - \frac{3}{R^2} R_{1,1},$$

$$T_{0,0} = \rho c^2, \quad T_{1,1} = \frac{R^2}{c^2} P.$$

Therefore, equ. (58) reduces to the two equations

$$\left(\frac{dR}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \epsilon c^2 - \frac{1}{3} \Lambda c^2 R^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho R^2, \quad 0-0 \text{ component}, \quad (60)$$

$$-\frac{2}{c^2} R \frac{d^2 R}{d\tau^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{dR}{d\tau} \right)^2 - \epsilon + \Lambda R^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} P, \quad 1-1 \text{ component}. \quad (61)$$

Combining the equations (60) and (61) one obtains after some calculations

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\tau} = -3 \frac{dR}{d\tau} \frac{1}{R} \left(\rho + \frac{P}{c^2} \right). \quad (62)$$

Note that the equations (60) and (61) are equivalent with the equations (60) and (62). There are two special cases:

- (i) There is no radiation, i.e. $P = 0$ and $\rho = \rho_{mat}$ (i.e. the cosmos is matter dominated),
- (ii) There is only radiation, i.e. $\rho_{mat} = 0$ and $P = \frac{1}{3} c^2 \rho_{rad}$ (i.e. the cosmos is radiation dominated).

If matter and radiation do not couple then (62) is satisfied separately for ρ_{mat} and ρ_{rad} . This implies that $\rho_{mat}(\tau) R(\tau)^3$ and $\rho_{rad}(\tau) R(\tau)^4$ are constants, i.e. independent of the time τ . We put


$$\alpha := \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{mat}(\tau) R(\tau)^3, \quad \text{matter invariant}, \quad (63)$$


$$\sigma := \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{rad}(\tau) R(\tau)^4, \quad \text{radiation invariant}. \quad (64)$$

Further one has

$$\rho = \rho_{mat} + \rho_{rad}. \quad (65)$$

Then the equations (63), (64) and (65) imply (62) for the total density ρ . Inserting equ. (65) into equ. (60) one obtains the Friedmann equation (1). Its solutions are also solutions of the equations (60) and (61), hence the corresponding metric tensor of the RW-metrics satisfies equation (58). If $\tau \rightarrow R(\tau)$ is a solution of equ. (1) then also $\tau \rightarrow R(\tau + \tau_0)$ is a solution for all $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.





$$0 < z < 1$$

